

A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

5. Location (continued)

The City's shoreline jurisdiction is shown on the map below.



9. Project description (expanded)

The City's SMP was comprehensively amended in 2013. This non-project proposal includes proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP, which the City is required to complete in 2021 under the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. The periodic review is intended to keep the SMP current with amendments to state laws, changes to local plans and regulations, changes in local circumstances, and new or improved data or information.

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements. The proposed amendments can be summarized as follows:

- Exemptions and exceptions
 - Updates exemptions from a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit to be consistent with those in state law
 - Adds exceptions, or developments not subject to City shoreline review, consistent with those in state law
- Definitions

- Updates definitions to be consistent with those in state law
- Comprehensive Plan
 - Update Comp Plan to state SMP goals and policies are Comp Plan elements
- Shoreline environment designations
 - Revise environment designation map and text descriptions for consistency and correct UGA reference
- Critical Areas and wetlands
 - Update references to LMC Ch. 16.20 and wetland buffers to be consistent with those in state law.
- Permitted uses
 - Identify buoys as a permitted use not a conditional use
 - Clarify legal non-conforming single-family residences are permitted in the Urban shoreline environment
- Sea level rise
 - Add background statement, new policies and regulations related to sea level rise adaptation
- Structures and residential development
 - Remove 200 square-foot threshold as a trigger for drainage improvements and vegetation enhancement
- View corridors
 - Remove reference to designated ‘view corridors’ as no such designation exists.
- Vegetation removal
 - Clarify what is ‘excessive’ vegetation removal and define ‘significant’ vegetation removal
- Buffers/setbacks
 - Revise buffers in Urban designation for consistency
- Minor housekeeping
 - Updates obsolete or incorrect citations

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

8. Land and Shoreline Use

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The City’s shoreline jurisdiction may include portions of the following zoning classifications:

- Residential Single-Family 5,000
- Residential Single-Family 7,200
- Residential Single-Family 15,000
- Central Business District
- Public

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The City’s shoreline jurisdiction may include portions of the following comprehensive plan designations:

- Residential
- Commercial
- Public

g. What is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Current SMP designations include those listed below. These designations are shown in the map in item A.5 of these additional sheets.

- Shoreline Residential
- Urban
- Aquatic Conservancy
- Aquatic Urban
- Aquatic Boat Harbor

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an “environmentally sensitive” area? If so, specify.

Yes, parts of the City's shoreline jurisdiction have been classified as environmentally sensitive. The City's Critical Area Map LU-7 and LU-8, which shows the approximate locations of critical areas classifications in the City, including in shoreline jurisdiction, is available on the City's website at

https://www.langleywa.org/departments/community_planning_and_building_department/Comprehensive_Plan.php

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to increase any of the above. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

No such measures are needed.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to significantly affect plants, animals, fish or marine life. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

No such measures are needed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to affect the depletion of energy or natural resources. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

No such measures are needed.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to affect environmentally sensitive areas. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

No such measures are needed.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP would not alter the land and shoreline uses allowed under existing City plans and development regulations. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No such measures are needed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP are not anticipated to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities. The proposed amendments are primarily concerned with keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and making usability improvements.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

No such measures are needed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This non-project proposal includes amendments associated with the periodic review of the City's SMP, which the City is required to complete under the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. Aims of the periodic review include keeping the SMP current with amendments to state laws and changes to local plans and regulations.